THE REPUBLIC OF SOMALILAND HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Public Accounts Committee



On the Public Accounting Committee

FROM 06TH – 08THMarch, 2012 HARGEISA, SOMALILAND

Prepared by:

Abdinasir Osman Mahamoud

Project Focal Person

 $\pmb{\text{E-mail:}}\ dhoobaasi@hotmail.com$

Phone: 00252-2-4428649

1. Introduction

Early this year the public account committee of the parliament received the draft bill establishing of the Good Governance and Anti-corruption Commission (hereafter referred the GGACC), the parliament committee invited some members of the both Houses of Parliament, UNDP officers to discuss the draft bill,

UNDP supported by the three days discussion held from 06^{th} - 08^{th} March 2012, in Maansoor Hotel, Hargeisa,

2. Specific Objectives:

The following specific objectives:

- 1. Definition of corruption.
- 2. types of corruption
- 3. How the other countries on the earth fought for corruption.
- 4. and how the members of both houses learned a lesson for Corruption from the experts (*Tim and Charles*)

3. Workshop Participants

Fifty members (50) from the both houses of parliament "House of Elders and House of Representatives" and members from the Good Governance and Anti-Corruption Commission, the House legal advisers, UNDP and UNODC Professors, attended this three days workshop.



Day One

4. Opening Remark

RT. Hon, Abdurrahman Mohamed Abdilahi, Speaker of the House of Representatives Officially opens the workshop on the date 06 March 2012, and briefed the participants the objectives and the duration of the workshop, in-depth discussion and review of the good governance and anti-corruption commission bill.

Mr. Ali Yousuf, UNDP representative mentioned this was part of the UNDP support to the House of Representatives, and it is not something new, as it was going on for quite a while, establishment of GGACC was one of the standing issues and very important.

The deputy chairman of the GGACC also briefed to the participants the contents of this bill, and overall objectives and process of the bill.

One common objective was to come up with draft bill, which will enable the stakeholders to improve said the deputy chair of the GGACC.

Tim of UNDC also briefly explained the parliament the kinds and forms of corruptions



and how they interrelate them, before Charles of UNDP also the footsteps of Tim.

MP from the House of Guurti Mr Shiek Omer and MP House of of representative Mr. Ali Mohamed Hassan, also discussed and in-depth explained what kind anticorruptions measures does the Somaliland government needs this time, and how these measures will help and support the ongoing efforts of good governance.

TIM of UNODC also explained the role and relations between the various national commissions and bodies who deal with the anti-corruptions.

.

Day Two:

The second day, the chairperson of the GGACC opened the second day session, and specifically mentioned:

- 1. How the anti-corruptions measures are practiced globally,
- 2. And review of anti-corruptions bills and acts of different countries

Charles of UNDP also added extensive awareness rising and outreach will be also viable during the process of bill and after its approval. He also explained how anti-corruption measures are practiced in different countries of the world,

After extensive discussion the participants unanimously agreed outreach and awareness raising campaign are very important during the review process of the bill.

Charles also briefed the participants some anti-corruptions measures used by African and non-African counties, also UN anti-corruptions measure.

Also further inputs and comprehensive analysis of the bill was made by the two legal advisers of the House of Representatives,



Day Three:

Review of article by articles of the draft bill was done in the third day, particularly Article 5 of the draft bill. Before extensive discussion made by the participants on each bill and articles with high concerns as well.

Workshop outcome

The outcomes of this three days workshop are:-

- 1. The members of the both Houses are understood the experience of the both professor Tim and Charles which was sharing the MPs their corruption practice.
- 2. Specifically the members of public account committee received and collected the participants' experiences that relate the corruption practice.

Conclusion:

At the end, another session was agreed to hold for further discussions, and far-reaching stakeholders will be invited in upcoming forum for thoroughly analysis.

Thanks for your reading